

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		TO DESCRIPTION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		3706
08/999,308	12/29/1997	NOBUTSUGU FUJINO	FUJO14691	3700
26304 7590 01/09/2003 KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			EXAMINER	
			ENG, GEORGE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/999,308

Applicant(s)

Fujino et al.

Examiner

George Eng

Art Unit 2643



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	ALCAITIUC FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
Egiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
<ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	s communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 27, 20	002				
2a) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-14, and 16-56</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)  Claim(s)					
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-6, 8-14, and 16-56	1				
7)  Claim(s)					
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗌 All b) 🔲 Some* c) 🔲 None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
application from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTC-413) Paper No(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
3) I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1443) Faper (Vota).					

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-6, 8-14 and 16-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shachar et al. (US PAT. 5,764,736 hereinafter Shachar) in view of Van Hoff et al. (US PAT. 5,919,247 hereinafter Van Hoff) and Goldman et al. (US PAT. 4,995,074 hereinafter Goldman).

Regarding claim 1, Shachar discloses a communication system as shown in figure 1 comprising a server (124) providing information, a terminal (100) communicating data with the

Application/Control Number: 08/999,308

Art Unit: 2643

server, and a communication network (122) connecting said server to said terminal (col. 7 line 38 through col. 8 line 26), wherein the system further comprises a temporary line disconnection unit for temporary disconnecting a line being used for primary data communication when a third party other than the server is being voice communicated during a data communication between the terminal and the server (figure 4b and col. 14 lines 2-20), a data fetch unit for automatically fetching data from the server to the terminal (figure 4a and col. 13 lines 7-40), a storage unit for storing data fetch by said automatic data fetch unit (col. 13 lines 62-66), wherein a data communicating process is preformed from a status at a point immediately before starting the voice communication when said server and said terminal resume the data communication (figure 4c, col. 12 lines 34-57 and col. 14 lines 41-51). Note while Shachar teaches the communication system is able to download information from the server during data communication in the storage unit and to access the storage unit in order to accomplish various tasks (col. 8 lines 51-67, col. 10 lines 33-49 and col. 11 lines 35-41) so that the communication is capable of providing virtual data communication during voice communication. Shachar differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching that the data fetch unit fetching data of web sites including information which a user requires. However, Van Hoff teaches a system for distributing data to clients over a network comprising a client system using a tuner process for downloading and storing entire web site contents on a local hard disk upon a request is made so that a user can access the web site contents without further network traffic (col. 2 line 50 through col. 3 line 9 and col. 12 line 63 through col.13 line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shachar

Application/Control Number: 08/999,308

Art Unit: 2643

in having the tuner process as taught by Van Hoff because it makes user friendly so that it makes possible to use an application from a particular web site even if client system is not connected to a network. Note while neither Shachar nor Van Hoff specifically teaches the temporary line disconnected unit mediates the disconnection and reassignment of the communication line to the voice communication without issuing any disconnected notification to the upper layer application. However, Goldman teaches a system for preventing loss of a data when a communication line is temporarily switched for an optional use by not passing disconnected notification signal to an upper layer application in order to maintain data session at a host computer during a suspension period (col. 4 line 42 through col. 5 line 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Shachar and Van Hoff in mediating the disconnection and reassignment of the communication line to the voice communication without issuing any disconnected notification to the upper layer application, as per teaching of Goldman, because it prevents loss of data when a communication line is temporarily switched for an optional use.

Regarding claim 2, Shachar teaches the terminal obtaining a telephone number of the third party as information during the data communications (col. 10 lines 54-60).

Regarding claims 3-5, Shachar teaches the server comprising a telephone switch unit and the temporary line disconnecting unit provided in the terminal and the server for disconnecting between the terminal and the server when the terminal issues a request for voice communication with the third party (col. 11 line 7 through col. 12 line 49).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 6 and 8, Shachar discloses at least one unit provided on a server side for each use who receives a service of said server for managing personal information and communications status of each user (col. 9 lines 4-17 and col. 12 lines 23-49).

Regarding claims 9, 16 and 22, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 10, 17 and 23, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claims 11-13, 18-20 and 24-26, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 3-5.

Regarding claim 14, 21 and 27-28, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 6 and 8.

3. Claims 29-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shachar et al. (US PAT. 5,764,736 hereinafter Shachar) in view of Goldman et al. (US PAT. 4,995,074 hereinafter Goldman).

Regarding claim 29, Shachar discloses a communication system as shown in figure 1 comprising a server (124) providing information, a terminal (100) communicating data with the server, and a communication network (122) connecting said server to said terminal (col. 7 line 38 through col. 8 line 26), wherein the system further comprises a temporary line disconnection unit for temporary disconnecting a line being used for primary data communication when a third party other

Application/Control Number: 08/999,308

Art Unit: 2643

than the server is being voice communicated during a data communication between the terminal and the server (figure 4b and col. 14 lines 2-20), wherein a data communicating process is preformed from a status at a point immediately before starting the voice communication when said server and said terminal resume the data communication (figure 4c, col. 12 lines 34-57 and col. 14 lines 41-51). Shachar differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaches the temporary line disconnected unit mediates the disconnection and reassignment of the communication line to the voice communication without issuing any disconnected notification to the upper layer application. However, Goldman teaches a system for preventing loss of a data when a communication line is temporarily switched for an optional use by not passing disconnected notification signal to an upper layer application in order to maintain data session at a host computer during a suspension period (col. 4 line 42 through col. 5 line 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Shachar in mediating the disconnection and reassignment of the communication line to the voice communication without issuing any disconnected notification to the upper layer application, as per teaching of Goldman, because it prevents loss of data when a communication line is temporarily switched for an optional use.

Regarding claim 30, Shachar teaches the terminal obtaining a telephone number of the third party as information during the data communications (col. 10 lines 54-60).

Regarding claims 31-33, Shachar teaches the server comprising a telephone switch unit and the temporary line disconnecting unit provided in the terminal and the server for disconnecting

Art Unit: 2643

between the terminal and the server when the terminal issues a request for voice communication with the third party (col. 11 line 7 through col. 12 line 49).

Regarding claims 34 and 36, Shachar discloses at least one unit provided on a server side for each use who receives a service of said server for managing personal information and communications status of each user (col. 9 lines 4-17 and col. 12 lines 23-49).

Regarding claim 35, Shachar teaches a data fetch unit for automatically fetching data from the server to the terminal (figure 4a and col. 13 lines 7-40), a storage unit for storing data fetch by said automatic data fetch unit (col. 13 lines 62-66), wherein the communication system is able to download information from the server during data communication in the storage unit and to access the storage unit in order to accomplish various tasks (col. 8 lines 51-67, col. 10 lines 33-49 and col. 11 lines 35-41) so that the communication is capable of providing virtual data communication during voice communication.

Regarding claims 37, 44 and 50, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 29.

Regarding claims 38, 45 and 51, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 30.

Regarding claims 39-40, 46-47 and 52-54, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 31-33.

Regarding claim 41-42, 48 and 55, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 34 and 36.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 43, 49 and 56, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 35.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/27/2002 (paper no. 30) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., incorporating temporary line disconnection units directly within each of a user terminal and server) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). As considered claims 9, 16, 22, 37, 44 and 50, those claims do not explicitly define to incorporate temporary line disconnection units directly within each of a user terminal and server.

In response to applicant's argument that Goldman's interfaces 28 and 34 are not provided in the host and user terminal, it appears that Goldman clearly teaches to use an internal modem to

Application/Control Number: 08/999,308

Art Unit: 2643

eliminate a separate connecting line, as well as integration of the modern and the interface (col.3 lines 63-65 and col. 6 lines 8-16) such that the interfaces 28 and 34 are provided in the host and the user terminal. In addition, the use of a one construction instead of the structure would merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. <u>In re Larson</u>, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965).

In response to applicant's argument the claimed automatic data unit and feature are distinct from Van Hoff, it appears that Shachar teaches to receive data from a server when the terminal engages an application of the server, to store data associated with the engaged application to accomplish various tasks (col. 8 lines 51-67), and to establish a voice connection by suspending the data connection and saving information related to the current data connection (col. 12 lines 1-28). Note while the use of Van Hoff is merely for teaching to fetch data of web sites including information requested by a user even if a client system is not connected to a network, i.e., data communication connection between the client system and a server is suspended (col. 12 line 63 through col. 13 line 6). Thus, the combination of the Shachar and Van Hoff teaches the claimed limitation of fetching stored data when the data communication is suspended.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy 5. as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

Application/Control Number: 08/999,308

Art Unit: 2643

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## 6. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

**BOX AF** 

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Eng whose telephone number is (703) 308-9555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

CLEONER BAG.

January 6, 2003